

Observational status of the Galileon model from cosmological data and gravitational waves

Clément Leloup – CEA/Irfu/DPhP





I. Presentation of the galileon model

II. Methodology

III. Constraints from cosmology

IV. GW170817





I. Presentation of the galileon model

II. Methodology

III. Constraints from cosmology

IV. GW170817

Horndeski theories



- Simple principles for an extension of General Relativity :
 - Additional scalar field π coupled to the metric
 - 2nd order e.o.m : easy way to avoid Ostrogradski ghosts

Horndeski lagrangians

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{2}^{(H)} &= G_{2}\left(\pi, X\right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{3}^{(H)} &= G_{3}\left(\pi, X\right)\left(\Box\pi\right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{4}^{(H)} &= G_{4}\left(\pi, X\right)R - G_{4,X}\left(\pi, X\right)\left[2\left(\Box\pi\right)^{2} - 2\pi_{;\mu\nu}\pi^{;\mu\nu}\right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{5}^{(H)} &= G_{5}\left(\pi, X\right)G_{\mu\nu}\pi^{;\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}\left(\pi, X\right)\left[\left(\Box\pi\right)^{3} - 3\left(\Box\pi\right)\pi_{;\mu\nu}\pi^{;\mu\nu} + 2\pi^{;\nu}_{;\mu}\pi^{;\rho}_{;\nu}\pi^{;\mu}_{;\rho}\right] \end{aligned}$$

▷ Where the G_i are arbitrary functions of π and X

A particular case : the galileon

- > The galileon model is a particular case of Horndeski :
 - Galilean symmetry in Minkowskii space-time (inspired by DGP, massive gravity, ...) :

$$\pi \to \pi + c + b_\mu x^\mu$$

• Simple expressions for the arbitrary functions :

$$G_2 = c_1 M^3 \pi + c_2 X, \qquad G_3 = \frac{c_3 X}{M^3}, \qquad G_4 = M_P^2 - \frac{c_4}{M^6} X^2, \qquad G_5 = \frac{3c_5 X^2}{M^9}$$

- The c_i are arbitrary parameters and $M^3 = M_P H_0^2$
- Addition of direct couplings to matter : conformal and/or disformal



> The galileon action :

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi, g, \pi] = \mathcal{S}_{SM}[\phi, g] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 - 2c_0 \frac{\pi}{M_P} \right) \frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{c_i}{M^{3(i-2)}} \mathcal{L}_i - \frac{M_P}{M^3} c_G G^{\mu\nu} \pi_{;\mu} \pi_{;\nu} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \pi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = X$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{3} = X \Box \pi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{4} = X \left[2 (\Box \pi)^{2} - 2 (\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} X R \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{5} = X \left[(\Box \pi)^{3} - 3 (\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu}) \Box \pi + 2 (\pi^{;\nu}_{;\mu} \pi^{;\rho}_{;\nu} \pi^{;\mu}_{;\rho}) - 6 (\pi_{;\mu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} \pi^{;\rho}) \right]$$



$$\begin{array}{l} & \quad \text{Five galileon} \\ & \quad \text{Five galileon} \\ & \quad \text{parameters} \\ & \quad \mathcal{S}[\phi,g,\pi] = \mathcal{S}_{SM}[\phi,g] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 - 2c_0 \frac{\pi}{M_P} \right) \frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{|c_i|}{M^{3(i-2)}} \mathcal{L}_i - \frac{M_P}{M^3} c_G G^{\mu\nu} \pi_{;\mu} \pi_{;\nu} \right] \\ & \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = \pi \\ & \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = X \\ & \quad \mathcal{L}_3 = X \Box \pi \\ & \quad \mathcal{L}_4 = X \left[2 \left(\Box \pi \right)^2 - 2 \left(\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} X R \right] \\ & \quad \mathcal{L}_5 = X \left[\left(\Box \pi \right)^3 - 3 \left(\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} \right) \Box \pi + 2 \left(\pi^{;\nu}_{;\mu} \pi^{;\rho}_{;\nu} \pi^{;\mu}_{;\rho} \right) - 6 \left(\pi_{;\mu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} \pi^{;\rho} \right) \right] \end{array}$$











$$\begin{array}{l} & \text{Five galileon} \\ & \text{Five galileon} \\ & \text{S}\left[\phi,g,\pi\right] = \mathcal{S}_{SM}\left[\phi,g\right] + \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{C_{0}}} \frac{\pi}{M_{P}}\right) \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2}R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{c_{i}}{M^{3(i-2)}} \mathcal{L}_{i} - \frac{M_{P}}{M^{3}} \frac{c_{G}}{M^{3}} \mathcal{L}_{i} \pi_{;\mu} \pi$$



$$\begin{array}{l} & \text{Five galileon} \\ & \text{Five galileon} \\ & \text{S}\left[\phi,g,\pi\right] = \mathcal{S}_{SM}\left[\phi,g\right] + \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{C_{0}}} \frac{\pi}{M_{P}}\right) \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2}R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{c_{i}}{M^{3}(i-2)} \mathcal{L}_{i} - \frac{M_{P}}{M^{3}} \frac{c_{G}}{M_{P}} \frac{\mu^{\mu}}{m_{i}} \pi_{i}}{\mu^{\mu}} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{1} = \pi \longleftarrow \text{Tadpole (behaves like } \Lambda \text{ so } c_{1}=0) \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} = X \longleftarrow \text{Kinetic term} \\ \mathcal{L}_{3} = X \Box \pi \\ \mathcal{L}_{4} = X \left[2 \left(\Box \pi \right)^{2} - 2 \left(\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} X R \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{5} = X \left[\left(\Box \pi \right)^{3} - 3 \left(\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} \right) \Box \pi + 2 \left(\pi^{;\nu}_{;\mu} \pi^{;\rho}_{;\nu} \pi^{;\mu}_{;\rho} \right) - 6 \left(\pi_{;\mu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} \pi^{;\rho} \right) \right] \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} & \text{Five galileon} \\ & \text{The galileon action :} \\ & \mathcal{S}[\phi,g,\pi] = \mathcal{S}_{SM}[\phi,g] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{C_0}} \frac{\pi}{M_P} \right) \frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{c_i}{M^{3(i-2)}} \mathcal{L}_i - \frac{M_P}{M^3} \frac{c_G}{G} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} \pi_{;\mu} \pi_{;\nu} \right] \\ & \mathcal{L}_1 = \pi \longleftarrow \text{Tadpole (behaves like } \Lambda \text{ so } c_1 = 0) \\ & \mathcal{L}_2 = X \longleftarrow \text{Kinetic term} \\ & \mathcal{L}_3 = X \Box \pi \longleftarrow \text{Kinetic term} \\ & \mathcal{L}_4 = X \left[2 \left(\Box \pi \right)^2 - 2 \left(\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} X R \right] \longleftarrow \text{Non-linear lagrangians} \\ & \mathcal{L}_5 = X \left[\left(\Box \pi \right)^3 - 3 \left(\pi_{;\mu\nu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} \right) \Box \pi + 2 \left(\pi^{;\nu}_{;\mu} \pi^{;\rho}_{;\nu} \pi^{;\mu}_{;\rho} \right) - 6 \left(\pi_{;\mu} \pi^{;\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} \pi^{;\rho} \right) \right] \end{array}$$



 Non-linear lagrangians screen the galileon at small scales through Vainshtein effect



- > A popular modified gravity model :
 - Cosmological solution with accelerated expansion
 - ◆ No effect near massive bodies due to Vainshtein screening
 ⇒ necessary to pass tests of gravity in the solar system
 - No ghost degrees of freedom
 - Simple construction principles and limit of other well motivated cosmological models
 - Only up to seven real parameters





I. Presentation of the galileon model

II. Methodology

III. Constraints from cosmology

IV. GW170817



Galileon predictions

> Evolution in galileon gravity given by e.o.m of π and Einstein equations :

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \pi} = 0$$
 and $G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T^{SM}_{\mu\nu} + \kappa T^{(\pi)}_{\mu\nu}$

- > The galileon field is treated as a new fluid
- At first order ⇒ background evolution necessary to compute cosmological distances
- At linear order ⇒ perturbations evolution necessary to compute CMB powerspectra



$$\frac{dH}{d\ln a} = \frac{\omega\gamma - \lambda\beta}{\sigma\beta - \alpha\omega}$$
$$\frac{dx}{d\ln a} = -x + \frac{\alpha\lambda - \sigma\gamma}{\sigma\beta - \alpha\omega}$$











Cosmological background evolution :



▶ Initial condition at $z = z_i$: (H_i, x_i)





- ▶ Initial condition at $z = z_i$: (H_i, x_i)
- Scaling invariance :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} c_i & \to & \bar{c}_i \equiv c_i B^i, & i = 2, ..., 5 \\ c_G & \to & \bar{c}_G \equiv c_G B^2 \\ x & \to & \bar{x} \equiv x/B \end{array}$$



Cosmological background evolution :



- ▶ Initial condition at $z = z_i$: (H_i, x_i)
- Scaling invariance :

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_i & \to & \bar{c}_i \equiv c_i B^i, & i = 2, ..., 5 \\ c_G & \to & \bar{c}_G \equiv c_G B^2 \\ x & \to & \bar{x} \equiv x/B \end{vmatrix}$$



Cosmological background evolution :



- > Initial condition at $z = z_i : (H_i, x_i)$
- Scaling invariance :

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_i & \to & \bar{c}_i \equiv c_i x_0^{\ i}, & i = 2, ..., 5 \\ c_G & \to & \bar{c}_G \equiv c_G x_0^{\ 2} \\ x & \to & \bar{x} \equiv x/x_0 \end{vmatrix}$$



Cosmological background evolution :



- > Initial condition at z = 0 : (H_i, x_i)
- Scaling invariance :

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_i & \to & \bar{c}_i \equiv c_i x_0^i, & i = 2, ..., 5 \\ c_G & \to & \bar{c}_G \equiv c_G x_0^2 \\ x & \to & \bar{x} \equiv x/x_0 \end{vmatrix}$$



Cosmological background evolution :



- > Initial condition at z = 0 : $(H_0, 1)$
- Scaling invariance :

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_i & \to & \bar{c}_i \equiv c_i x_0^i, & i = 2, ..., 5 \\ c_G & \to & \bar{c}_G \equiv c_G x_0^2 \\ x & \to & \bar{x} \equiv x/x_0 \end{vmatrix}$$





Scalar perturbations evolution in the synchronous gauge: $0 = f_1^{eom} \cdot \bar{\gamma}'' + f_2^{eom} \cdot \bar{\gamma}' + f_3^{eom} \cdot k^2 \bar{\gamma} + f_4^{eom} \cdot k\mathcal{HZ}$ $+ f_5^{eom} \cdot k\mathcal{Z}' + f_6^{eom} \cdot k^2 \eta$

Perturbations evolution



 $\begin{array}{l} \succ \quad \text{Scalar perturbations evolution in the synchronous} \\ \text{gauge :} \quad 0 = f_1^{eom} \cdot \bar{\gamma}'' + f_2^{eom} \cdot \bar{\gamma}' + f_3^{eom} \cdot k^2 \bar{\gamma} + f_4^{eom} \cdot k\mathcal{HZ} \\ \quad + f_5^{eom} \cdot k\mathcal{Z}' + f_6^{eom} \cdot k^2 \eta \\ \quad \delta \rho^{(\pi)} = f_1^{\chi} \cdot \gamma + f_2^{\chi} \cdot \gamma' + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} \left(f_3^{\chi} \cdot k\mathcal{HZ} + f_4^{\chi} \cdot k^2 \eta \right) \\ \quad q^{(\pi)} = f_1^q + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} f_2^q \cdot k^2 \left(\sigma - \mathcal{Z} \right) \\ \quad \Pi^{(\pi)} = f_1^{\Pi} + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} \left(f_2^{\Pi} \cdot k\mathcal{H}\sigma - f_3^{\Pi} \cdot k\sigma' + f_4^{\Pi} \cdot k^2 \phi \right) \end{aligned}$





- $\begin{array}{l} \succ \quad \text{Scalar perturbations evolution in the synchronous} \\ \text{gauge :} \quad 0 = f_1^{eom} \cdot \bar{\gamma}'' + f_2^{eom} \cdot \bar{\gamma}' + f_3^{eom} \cdot k^2 \bar{\gamma} + f_4^{eom} \cdot k\mathcal{HZ} \\ \quad + f_5^{eom} \cdot k\mathcal{Z}' + f_6^{eom} \cdot k^2 \eta \\ \quad \delta \rho^{(\pi)} = f_1^{\chi} \cdot \gamma + f_2^{\chi} \cdot \gamma' + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} \left(f_3^{\chi} \cdot k\mathcal{HZ} + f_4^{\chi} \cdot k^2 \eta \right) \\ \quad q^{(\pi)} = f_1^q + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} f_2^q \cdot k^2 \left(\sigma \mathcal{Z} \right) \\ \quad \Pi^{(\pi)} = f_1^{\Pi} + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} \left(f_2^{\Pi} \cdot k\mathcal{H}\sigma f_3^{\Pi} \cdot k\sigma' + f_4^{\Pi} \cdot k^2 \phi \right) \end{aligned}$
- > Where the $f_i^{\chi,q,\Pi,eom}$ are functions of the background
- Barreira et al. 2013 showed that initial conditions for galileon perturbations can be taken as :

$$\gamma = \gamma' = 0$$
 at $z \sim 10^{10}$



Parameter space exploration

 Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB





- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :

Parameter space exploration



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$

Parameter space exploration



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters $\{\Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, 100\theta_{MC}, \tau, n_s, A_s\}$



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters { $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_c h^2$, $100\theta_{MC}$, τ , n_s , A_s }
 - Reject scenarios with instabilities in scalar or tensorial perturbations



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters { $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_c h^2$, $100\theta_{MC}$, τ , n_s , A_s }
 - Reject scenarios with instabilities in scalar or tensorial perturbations
 - No restriction to tracker solutions



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters { $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_c h^2$, $100\theta_{MC}$, τ , n_s , A_s }
 - Reject scenarios with instabilities in scalar or tensorial perturbations
 - No restriction to tracker solutions
 - Attractor solutions



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters { $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_c h^2$, $100\theta_{MC}$, τ , n_s , A_s }
 - Reject scenarios with instabilities in scalar or tensorial perturbations
 - No restriction to tracker solutions
 - Attractor solutions
 - Additional relation on parameters


- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters { $\Omega_b h^2$, $\Omega_c h^2$, $100\theta_{MC}$, τ , n_s , A_s }
 - Reject scenarios with instabilities in scalar or tensorial perturbations
 - No restriction to tracker solutions
 - Attractor solutions
 - Additional relation on parameters
 - Analytical solution for the background evolution



- Background and perturbations evolution in galileon gravity obtained using our own modified version code CAMB
- MCMC exploration of the parameter space against cosmological observations using our modified version of CosmoMC :
 - Constraints on full galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_G, x_0\}$
 - Constraints on cubic galileon parameters $\{cosmo, c_2, c_3, 0, 0, 0, x_0\}$
 - Common cosmological parameters $\{\Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, 100\theta_{MC}, \tau, n_s, A_s\}$
 - Reject scenarios with instabilities in scalar or tensorial perturbations
 - No restriction to tracker solutions
- A posteriori comparison to GW speed constraint from GW170817





I. Presentation of the galileon model

II. Methodology

III. Constraints from cosmology

IV. GW170817







































> Fit to JLA data only :





Fit to JLA data only :













	$\chi^2(CMB)$	$\chi^2({ m BAO})$	$\chi^2(\text{JLA})$
$\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$	12946	5.6	706.7
Full galileon	12966	30.4	723.3
Cubic galileon	12993	29.9	723.6



	$\chi^2(\text{CMB})$	$\chi^2(BAO)$	$\chi^2(\text{JLA})$
$\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$	12946	5.6	706.7
Full galileon	12966	30.4	723.3
Cubic galileon	12993	29.9	723.6



	$\chi^2(\text{CMB})$	$\chi^2(\text{BAO})$	$\chi^2(\text{JLA})$	
ΛCDM	12946	5.6	706.7	8 data points only
Full galileon	12966	30.4	723.3	
Cubic galileon	12993	29.9	723.6	







- > Tension on τ due to :
 - lensing
 - low-l of polarization



Tensions in base models

EE low- ℓ

30

25

lensing

- > Tension on τ due to :
 - lensing

0.08

0.07

0.06

0.05

0.03

0.02

0.01

0.00

10

ト 0.04

low-l of polarization

 χ^2

15

> Tension between BAO and CMB :





Tensions in base models

- > Tension on τ due to :
 - lensing
 - low-l of polarization

Improve the situation with new parameters ? \triangleright





Tension between BAO and CMB :





- CMB lensing power spectrum favours low A_s
- Because lensing effect stronger in galileon scenarios



> Additional parameters that have an effect on lensing normalization : A_L or Σm_v

Extension to A_I



> Model extended to the parameter A_L :



Extension to A_I



> Model extended to the parameter A_L :



Extension to A₁



> Model extended to the parameter A_L :



Extension to Σm_{v}



> Model extended to the parameter Σm_v :



Extension to Σm_{v}



> Model extended to the parameter Σm_v :



Extension to Σm_{χ}



> Model extended to the parameter Σm_v :







I. Presentation of the galileon model

II. Methodology

III. Constraints from cosmology

IV. GW170817



Time delay between GW and light from GW170817





Time delay between GW and light from GW170817



$$\Delta t = \int_{a_e}^{1} \frac{da}{aH} \left(1 - \frac{c}{c_g(a)} \right) + \delta t$$

 $= 1.74 \pm 0.05 s$



Time delay between GW and light from GW170817



$$\Delta t = \int_{a_e}^{1} \frac{da}{aH} \left(1 - \frac{c}{c_g(a)} \right) + \delta t$$
$$= 1.74 \pm 0.05s \qquad \uparrow$$
Speed of GW



> Time delay between GW and light from GW170817



arXiv:1710.05834



> Time delay between GW and light from GW170817


Gravitational waves



Time delay between GW and light from GW170817







▹ Modification of GW speed only due to c_4 , c_5 and c_G ⇒ affects only the full galileon model



Galileon status



- Status of the general galileon model as of February
 2019 (see Leloup et al. 2019) :
 - No galileon model can reproduce all cosmological data (especially BAO data)



• Full galileon model excluded by GW170817

Conclusion on tracker



- > Was the full exploration of the parameter space useful ?
- > Argued in Barreira et al. 2014 that tracker should be reached before the DE dominated era to reproduce correctly CMB TT



Best fits of full galileon models converge towards tracker later
 risk of missing interesting scenarios if tracker only



Thank you !



 $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = A(\pi, X) g_{\mu\nu} + B(\pi, X) \nabla_{\mu} \pi \nabla_{\nu} \pi$

Conformal transformation

$$\pi T^{\mu}_{\mu}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$M_P c_0 \pi R$$

Disformal transformation





> TT powerspectrum with A_L





> SN hubble diagram with A_L





> TT powerspectrum with Σm_{ν}





> SN hubble diagram with Σm_{ν}







CI on H0 from Riess et al. 2016



Constraints on galileon parameters



Validation of CAMB





$$\begin{split} \alpha &= \frac{c_2}{6}\bar{H}x - 3c_3\bar{H}^3x^2 + 15c_4\bar{H}^5x^3 - \frac{35}{2}c_5\bar{H}^7x^4 - 3c_G\bar{H}^3x \\ \gamma &= \frac{c_2}{3}\bar{H}^2x - c_3\bar{H}^4x^2 + 5\frac{5}{2}c_5\bar{H}^8x^4 - 2c_G\bar{H}^4x \\ \beta &= \frac{c_2}{6}\bar{H}^2 - 2c_3\bar{H}^4x + 9c_4\bar{H}^6x^2 - 10c_5\bar{H}^8x^3 - c_G\bar{H}^4 \\ \sigma &= 2\bar{H} + 2c_3\bar{H}^3x^3 - 15c_4\bar{H}^5x^4 + 21c_5\bar{H}^7x^5 + 6c_G\bar{H}^3x^2 \\ \lambda &= 3\bar{H}^2 + \frac{\Omega_{\gamma}^0}{a^4} + \frac{p_{\nu}}{M_{Pl}^2H_0^2} + \frac{c_2}{2}\bar{H}^2x^2 - 2c_3\bar{H}^4x^3 + \frac{15}{2}c_4\bar{H}^6x^4 - 9c_5\bar{H}^8x^5 - c_G\bar{H}^4x^2 \\ \omega &= 2c_3\bar{H}^4x^2 - 12c_4\bar{H}^6x^3 + 15c_5\bar{H}^8x^4 + 4c_G\bar{H}^4x \end{split}$$

1.
$$\chi^G = f_1^{\chi} \cdot \gamma + f_2^{\chi} \cdot \gamma' + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} \left(f_3^{\chi} \cdot k\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Z} + f_4^{\chi} \cdot k^2 \eta \right)$$
 with :

$$f_1^{\chi} = \frac{k^2}{\kappa a^2} \left[-2\frac{c_3}{a^2} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 12\frac{c_4}{a^4} x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - 15\frac{c_5}{a^6} x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 - 4\frac{c_G}{a^2} x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right]$$
(A.1)

$$f_2^{\chi} = \frac{H_0}{\kappa a^2} \left[c_2 x \bar{\mathcal{H}} - 18 \frac{c_3}{a^2} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 + 90 \frac{c_4}{a^4} x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 - 105 \frac{c_5}{a^6} x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^7 - 18 \frac{c_G}{a^2} x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 \right]$$
(A.2)

$$f_3^{\chi} = -2\frac{c_3}{a^2}x^3\bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 15\frac{c_4}{a^4}x^4\bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - 21\frac{c_5}{a^6}x^5\bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 - 6\frac{c_G}{a^2}x^2\bar{\mathcal{H}}^2$$
(A.3)

$$f_4^{\chi} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c_4}{a^4} x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - 3 \frac{c_5}{a^6} x_5 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 - \frac{c_G}{a^2} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2$$
(A.4)

2.
$$q^G = f_1^q + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} f_2^q \cdot k^2 (\sigma - Z)$$
 with :

$$f_{1}^{q} = \frac{k}{\kappa a^{2}} \left[c_{2}H_{0}x\bar{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\gamma} - \frac{c_{3}}{a^{2}} \left(-2x^{2}\bar{H}^{2}\bar{\gamma}' + 6H_{0}x^{2}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{3}\bar{\gamma} \right) + \frac{c_{4}}{a^{4}} \left(-12x^{3}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{4}\bar{\gamma}' + 18H_{0}x^{3}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{5}\bar{\gamma} \right) - \frac{c_{5}}{a^{6}} \left(-15x^{4}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{6}\bar{\gamma}' + 15H_{0}x^{4}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{7}\bar{\gamma} \right) - \frac{c_{G}}{a^{2}} \left(-4x\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\bar{\gamma}' + 6H_{0}x\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{3}\bar{\gamma} \right) \right]$$
(A.5)

$$f_2^q = \frac{c_4}{a^4} x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - 2\frac{c_5}{a^6} x^5 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{c_G}{a^2} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 \tag{A.6}$$





3.
$$\Pi^G = f_1^{\Pi} + \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} \left(f_2^{\Pi} \cdot k \mathcal{H} \sigma - f_3^{\Pi} \cdot k \sigma' + f_4^{\Pi} \cdot k^2 \phi \right)$$
 with :

$$f_{1}^{\Pi} = \frac{k^{2}}{\kappa a^{2}} \left[\frac{c_{4}}{a^{4}} \left(4x^{3} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{4} \bar{\gamma} - 6x^{2} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{3} (x^{o} \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \bar{\gamma} \right) - \frac{c_{5}}{a^{6}} \left(12x^{4} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{6} \bar{\gamma} - 3x^{4} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{5} \overset{o}{\bar{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\gamma} - 12x^{3} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{5} (x^{o} \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \bar{\gamma} \right) + 2\frac{c_{G}}{a^{2}} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \left(x^{o} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right) \bar{\gamma} \right]$$
(A.7)

$$f_{2}^{\Pi} = \frac{c_{4}}{a^{4}} \left(3x^{4}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{4} - 6x^{3}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{3} \left(x^{o}\bar{\mathcal{H}} \right) \right) - \frac{c_{5}}{a^{6}} \left(12x^{5}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{6} - 3x^{5}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{5}\overset{o}{\bar{\mathcal{H}}} - 15x^{4}\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{5} \left(x^{o}\bar{\mathcal{H}} \right) \right) + 2\frac{c_{G}}{a^{2}}x\bar{\mathcal{H}} \left(x^{o}\bar{\mathcal{H}} \right)$$
(A.8)

$$r_{2}^{\Pi} = \frac{c_{4}}{c_{4}} r^{4} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{4} + 3 \frac{c_{5}}{c_{5}} r^{4} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^{5} (r \bar{\mathcal{H}})$$
(A.9)

$$f_3^{II} = \frac{1}{a^4} x^* \mathcal{H}^* + 3 \frac{1}{a^6} x^* H^0(x\mathcal{H})$$
(A.9)

$$f_4^{\Pi} = -\frac{c_4}{a^4} x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - \frac{c_5}{a^6} \left(-6x^5 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 + 6x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 \left(x \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right) \right) + 2\frac{c_G}{a^2} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2$$
(A.10)



$$\begin{split} 4. \ 0 &= f_1^{com} \cdot \bar{\gamma}'' + f_2^{com} \cdot \bar{\gamma}' + f_3^{com} \cdot k^2 \bar{\gamma} + f_4^{com} \cdot k\mathcal{HZ} + f_5^{com} \cdot k\mathcal{Z}' + f_6^{com} \cdot k^2 \eta \text{ with }: \\ f_1^{com} &= c_2 - 12 \frac{c_3}{a^2} x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 54 \frac{c_4}{a^4} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - 60 \frac{c_5}{a^6} x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 - 6 \frac{c_G}{a^2} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 & (A.11) \\ f_2^{com} &= H_0 \left[2c_2 \bar{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{c_3}{a^2} \left(12x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}} + 12 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) + \frac{c_4}{a^4} \left(-108x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 + 108x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}} + 108x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) \\ &- \frac{c_5}{a^6} \left(-240x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^7 + 180x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 \bar{\mathcal{H}} + 180x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) - 12 \frac{c_G}{a^2} \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right] & (A.12) \\ f_3^{com} &= c_2 - \frac{c_3}{a^2} \left(4x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 4 \bar{\mathcal{H}} (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) + \frac{c_4}{a^4} \left(-10x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 + 12x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}} + 24x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) \\ &- \frac{c_5}{a^6} \left(-36x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 + 24x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 (\bar{\mathcal{H}}) + 36x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) - \frac{c_G}{a^2} \left(2 \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right) & (A.13) \\ f_4^{com} &= c_2x - \frac{c_3}{a^2} \left(6x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 4x \bar{\mathcal{H}} (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) + \frac{c_4}{a^4} \left(-6x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 + 12x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 + 36x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) \\ &- \frac{c_5}{a^6} \left(-45x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 + 30x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 60x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) - \frac{c_G}{a^2} \left(6x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 4x \bar{\mathcal{H}} (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) \right) & (A.14) \\ f_5^{com} &= -22 \frac{c_3}{a^2} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 122 \frac{c_4}{a^4} x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 - 15 \frac{c_5}{a^6} x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 - 4 \frac{c_G}{a^2} x \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ (A.15) \\ f_6^{com} &= \frac{c_4}{a^4} \left(-4x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^4 + 6x^2 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^3 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) - \frac{c_5}{a^6} \left(-12x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^6 + 3x^4 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 \bar{\mathcal{H}} + 12x^3 \bar{\mathcal{H}}^5 (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \right) \\ -2\frac{c_G}{a^2} \bar{\mathcal{H}} (x \bar{\mathcal{H}}) & (A.16) \end{aligned}$$

Existing constraints



- Barreira et al. (2014) and Renk et al. (2017)
 - Models : cubic, quartic, quintic
 - Tracker solutions only
 - $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.06 \text{ eV}$ and $\sum m_{\nu} \neq 0.06 \text{ eV}$
 - Datasets : CMB spectra, BAO, ISW
 - Results :
 - * All models need $\sum m_{\nu} \neq 0.06 \,\,\mathrm{eV}$ to fit CMB and BAO data
 - Cubic galileon in tension with ISW (7.2σ)
 - → Quartic and quintic with $\sum m_{\nu} \neq 0.06$ eV comparable with ΛCDM

Existing constraints



- Neveu et al. (2017)
 - Models : uncoupled, disformal, conformal, full
 - No restriction to tracker solutions
 - $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.06 \text{ eV}$
 - Datasets : CMB priors, BAO, SNIa, growth of structure
 - Results :
 - Conformal coupling is disfavored
 - Disformal coupling is slightly favored
 - → Good agreement with data for all models

New constraints



- In the following (Leloup et al. in prep)
 - Models : cubic, full
 - No restriction to tracker solutions
 - $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.06 \text{ eV}$ and $\sum m_{\nu} \neq 0.06 \text{ eV}$
 - Datasets :
 - → CMB powerspectra (TT, TE, EE, lensing from Planck 2015)
 - → BAO (SDSS MGS, 6dFGS, BOSS DR12)
 - → SNIa (JLA sample)
 - → GW170817

Base models



Fit to CMB+BAO+JLA data :



Base models



Fit to CMB+BAO+JLA data :

