



Pc



### Weak Lensing Mass Mapping

### **Jean-Luc Starck**

#### http://jstarck.cosmostat.org

**Collaborators:** Francois Lanusse, Adrienne Leonard, Austin Peel













# **2D Mass Mapping Problems**

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = P_1 \hat{\gamma}_1 + P_2 \hat{\gamma}_2$$

$$P_1(k) = \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k^2}$$
$$P_2(k) = \frac{2k_1k_2}{k^2}$$

#### •Irregular sampling

• Missing data (mask and limited number densities):



•Shape noise:

#### •Reduced shear:

$$g = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \kappa}$$

the observed reduced shear is invariant under the transformation:

$$\kappa^{'} = \lambda \kappa (1 - \lambda)$$









# State of the Art



### **Linear Methods:**

•Kaiser-Squires (1993) + Gaussian smoothing : HSC (2018), DES (2017)

•3D SVD Inversion (Simon et al, 2009) -> HSC (2018)

### Non Linear methods:

• For clusters:

Model fitting algorithms (Bartelmann et al, 1996; Bradac et al, 2005; Jullo and Kneib, 2009).
Aperture Mass (Seitz and Schneider, 1996; 2002).

### • For larger fields:

•Maximum Likehood (Bartelmann et al, 1996).

•MemLens (Bridle et al, 1998; Marshal and Hobson, 2002).

•FastLens + MR-Lens (Starck et al 2006; Pires et al, 2009).

•Bayesian approches (Heavens et al, 2016, Alsing et al , 2017, Schneider et al, 2017).

•Glimpse2D (Lanusse et al, 2016).

### • 3D Mass Mapping:

•Bayesian approches (Bohm et al, **2017**).

•Glimpse3D (Leonard et al, 2012, Leonard et al, 2014).









### Shear $\gamma$ C

### Convergence $\kappa$



$$\kappa = F^* P F \gamma$$







### Irregular shear sampling







 $\kappa = F^* PF M \gamma$ 



### Mandling Missing Data (no noise): Binning+Smoothing









# Some set to the set of the set of

Binned data:  $\gamma = F^* PF\kappa$ Unbinned data:  $\gamma = T^* PF\kappa$ 

T = Non Equispaced Discrete Fourier Transform (NDFT)

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \parallel \gamma - \mathbf{P} \kappa \parallel_2^2$$

with  $\mathbf{P} = T^* P F$ 

# But there is no unique and stable solution, it is an ill posed inverse problem.









$$Y = HX + N$$

$$\min_{X} ||Y - HX||^2 + \mathcal{C}(X)$$

Physical Knowledge on X (ex: Gaussian Random Field, etc). ==> Gaussian smoothing, Wiener reconstruction, etc

==> Log normal distribution prior

X properties are understood through a representative data set. ==> Machine Learning

Knowledge on the histogram of X in pixel space or in another one. ==> Positivity constraint, sparsity constraint, etc.







### **Sparse Representation**



S Cosmo Stat









The top 1% of the coefficients concentrate only 8.66% of the energy. Not sparse...

1% largest coefficients in real space (the others are set to 0)





The wavelet coefficients encode edges and large scale information. 1% largest coefficients in wavelet space (the others are set to 0)

Wavelet transform



1% of the wavelet coefficients concentrate 99.96% of the energy: This can be used as a *prior*.



Reconstruction, after throwing away 99% of the wavelet coefficients





$$Y = HX + N$$

$$\min_{X} ||Y - HX||^2 + \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$$

Sparse model:  $X = \Phi \alpha$ 







an-Luc Starck • Fionn Murtagh • Jalal M. Fadil

Sparse Image

Wavelets and Related

Analysis

Second Edition

Geometric Multiscale

and Signal

Processing



# L1 Norm & Sparsity









# Some set to the set of the set of



F. Lanusse, J.-L. Starck, A. Leonard, and S. Pires, High Resolution Weak Lensing Mass Mapping combining Shear and Flexion, A&A, 2016.

Binned data: $\gamma = F^* PF \kappa$  $\mathbf{P} = T^* PF$ Unbinned data: $\gamma = T^* PF \kappa$ 

T = Non Equispaced Discrete Fourier Transform (NDFT)

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \| \gamma - \mathbf{P}\kappa \|_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{C}(\kappa)$$
$$= \frac{\gamma}{1-\kappa} \longrightarrow \lim_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \| (1-\kappa)g - \mathbf{P}\kappa \|_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{C}(\kappa)$$





g



# The 2D Glimpse Algorithm



$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} F(\kappa) + \lambda \parallel \Phi^t \kappa \parallel_1 \text{ with } F(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \parallel (1 - \kappa)g - \mathbf{P}\kappa \parallel_2^2$$

### Primal-dual splitting:

$$\begin{cases} \kappa^{(n+1)} = \kappa^{(n)} + \tau \left( \nabla F(\kappa^{(n)}) + \mathbf{\Phi} \alpha^{(n)} \right) \\ \alpha^{(n+1)} = \left( \mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{ST}_{\lambda} \right) \left( \alpha^{(n+1)} + \mathbf{\Phi}^{t} (2\kappa^{(n+1)} - \kappa^{(n)}) \right) \end{cases}$$

#### Condat-Vu algorithm, 2013

A few remarks:

- Recovers the convergence from the reduced shear
- P can be defined with and without binning the shear
- P can be ill-posed in case of missing data
- Sparse regularization of noise and missing data We use isotropic wavelets, well adapted to the recovery of clusters.
- Sparsity constraint  $\lambda$  estimated locally by noise simulations  $\implies$  Accounts for survey geometry, varying noise levels

http://www.cosmostat.org/software/glimpse/



• Fast and flexible algorithm

# Sexample with 93 % of missing data



Galaxy distribution: 93% of missing pixels, corresponding to 30 galaxies per square arcminute

cea

# Second Se



Galaxy distribution: 93% of missing pixels, corresponding to 30 galaxies per square arcminute

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Missing Data + Noise

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Some Flexion + Redshift Information

We can integrate flexion in our reconstruction framework

=> Jointly fit shear and flexion

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \parallel (1-\kappa) \begin{bmatrix} g \\ F \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \kappa \parallel_{2}^{2} + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{\Phi}^{t} \kappa \parallel_{1}$$

=> Jointly fit shear and flexion with redshift information

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \parallel (1 - \mathbf{Z}\kappa) \begin{bmatrix} g \\ F \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{Z} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \kappa \parallel_{2}^{2} + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{\Phi}^{t}\kappa \parallel_{1}$$

with 
$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{critic}^{\infty} / \sum_{critic} (z_i)$$

Individual redshifts have two benefits:

$$\Sigma_{crit}^{\infty} = \lim_{z \to \infty} \Sigma_{crit}(z)$$
$$\Sigma_{crit}(z_s) = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{D_S}{D_L D_{LS}}$$

•Directly map the surface mass density of the lens

•Mitigate the mass-sheet degeneracy when  $\kappa$  becomes significant (Bradac, Lombard and Schneider, 2004)

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Glimpse2D on public DES SV data

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Niall Jeffrey et al. 2018, MNRAS, arXiv:1801.08945 139 deg<sup>2</sup>

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

The maximum signal-to-noise value of peak statistic increased by a factor of 9 using GLIMPSE.

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Mass Mapping & Cluster A520

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

A. Peel, F. Lanusse, J.-L. Starck, « SPARSE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE MERGING A520 CLUSTER SYSTEM », ApJ, 847, 1, id. 23, 2017.

#### A puzzling case

Abell 520 (the "cosmic train wreck") is a dynamically complex merging cluster system. Previous weak-lensing studies disagree about the presence of a mysterious dark mass peak—if real, it would challenge our current understanding of dark matter. Glimpse2D mass reconstruction

#### Sparsity-based mass mapping

We generated new mass maps of A520 using Glimpse2D\*, a novel technique based on a sparsity prior.

#### Result

Based on a statistical noise analysis, we cannot confirm the existence of the dark peak.

![](_page_22_Figure_10.jpeg)

**1.0** $\sigma$  for the J14 and C12 catalogs,

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

\*http://www.cosmostat.org/software/glimpse

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

**3D Weak Lensing** 

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2 \boldsymbol{\theta}' \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}') \kappa(\boldsymbol{\theta}')$$

Kappa (or convergence) is a dimensionless surface mass density of the lens

$$\kappa(\theta, w) = \frac{3H_0^2 \Omega_M}{2c^2} \int_0^w dw' \frac{f_K(w')f_K(w - w')}{f_K(w)} \frac{\delta[f_K(w')\theta, w']}{a(w')},$$

 $f_{\mbox{\scriptsize K}}$  is the angular diameter distance, which is a function of the comoving radial distance r and the curvature K.

$$\gamma = \mathbf{P}_{\gamma\kappa} \kappa + n_{\gamma},$$
$$\kappa = Q\delta + n$$

$$\gamma = \mathbf{R}\delta + n$$

Galaxies are not intrinsically circular: intrinsic ellipticity ~ 0.2-0.3; gravitational shear ~ 0.02

Reconstructions require knowledge of distances to galaxies

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Assume uncorrelated Gaussian noise\*

### ♦ Linear methods

Wiener/inverse variance filter (Simon et al., 2009)

$$\hat{s}_{MV} = [lpha \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{R}^{\dagger} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{R}]^{-1} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{R}^{\dagger} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} d$$
.

SVD decomposition & thresholding (VanderPlas et al., 2011)

 $\hat{s}_{IV} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} d$ ,

Reconstruction resolution limited by resolution of data

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Linear Methods

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Target Areas for Improvement** 

- ♦ Redshift bias in location of detected peaks
- Smearing along the line of sight
- $\diamond \textsc{Damping}$  of the reconstruction
- ♦ Sensitivity at high redshift
- $\diamond$  Improving resolution in reconstructions

![](_page_27_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\delta$  is sparse.

Q spreads out the information in  $\delta a {\rm long}~{\cal K}$  bins. More unkown than measurements

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Weak Lensing & 3D Matter Distribution

A. Leonard, F.X. Dupe, and J.-L. Starck, <u>"A Compressed Sensing Approach to 3D Weak Lensing"</u>, Astronomy and Astrophysics , 539, A85, 2012.

A. Leonard, F. Lanusse, J-L. Starck, GLIMPSE: Accurate 3D weak lensing reconstruction using sparsity, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2014

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $\min_{\alpha} \| \alpha \|_{1} \quad s.t. \quad \frac{1}{2} \| \gamma - R \Phi \alpha \|_{\Sigma^{-1}}^{2} \leq \epsilon$   $\delta = \Phi \alpha \qquad \Phi = \text{2D Wavelet Transform on each redshift bin}$ 

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Glimpse3D on Euclid calibration mock

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

1 deg<sup>2</sup> example tile

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

no Glimpse3D smoothing

density reconstruction on redshift slices

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

1 pix = **0.23** arcmin

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Mass Estimation**

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Cluster Masses from 3D Weak

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

- GLIMPSE 3D reconstructions provide a direct, unbiased & nonparametric estimate of the cluster mass (Leonard, Lanusse & Starck 2014, MNRAS, 440, 1281)
- Masses estimated integrating the density in the central 4 x 4 arcmin
- Error bars reflect the standard deviation in mass estimates 1000 Monte Carlo simulations of each cluster
- Cluster masses 2 x  $10^{13}h^{-1}M_{\odot} \le M_{vir} \le 10^{15}h^{-1}M_{\odot}$
- Cluster redshifts  $0.05 \le z \le 0.75$

A. Leonard, F. Lanusse, & J.-L. Starck, "Weak lensing reconstructions in 2D & 3D: implications for cluster studies", MNRAS, 449, 1146–1157, 2015.
A. Leonard, F. Lanusse & J.-L. Starck, A&A, "GLIMPSE: Accurate 3D weak lensing reconstructions using sparsity", 2014.

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **A New Model**

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

$$\kappa = \kappa_{\rm NG} + \kappa_{\rm G}.$$

$$oldsymbol{\gamma} = \mathbf{P}oldsymbol{\kappa} + \mathbf{n}$$
  
 $oldsymbol{\gamma} = \mathbf{P}oldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathrm{NG}} + \mathbf{n}'$  with  $\mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{P}oldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathrm{G}} + \mathbf{n}$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{NG}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\gamma} - \mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{NG}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{r}} \|_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{2} + \lambda \| \mathbf{w} \odot \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*} \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{NG} \|_{1} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{NG}) \right\}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\boldsymbol{\kappa}_G} \left\{ \|\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_r - \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_G\|_{\mathbf{N}^{1/2}}^2 \right\},\,$$

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **W-GLIMPSE**

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Conclusions

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

# ✓ GLIMPSE2D: A new mass mapping algorithm, based on sparsity and proximal optimization theory:

#### https://github.com/CosmoStat

 $\Rightarrow$  Does not require angular binning of the ellipticities, accounts for reduced shear, and proper regularization of missing data.

 $\Rightarrow$  Can include individual redshift PDFs of sources and flexion measurements if available

### Bridge between low resolution weak lensing and high resolution strong lensing

### Can recover cluster substructures without strong lensing information

#### Ideal tool for investigating models of dark matter

- F. Lanusse, J.-L. Starck, A. Leonard, and S. Pires, High Resolution Weak Lensing Mass Mapping combining Shear and Flexion, A&A, 2016.
- A. Peel, F. Lanusse, J.-L. Starck, Sparse Reconstruction of the merging A520 cluster system, ApJ, 847, 1, id. 23, 2017.

![](_page_35_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Aperture Mass or Convergence ?**

 $M_{ap}(\theta) = (\boldsymbol{W}\kappa)_{\theta}$ 

but wavelets presents many advantages:

- compensated and **compact** support filters
- **fast** calculation:
- all scales processed in one step.
- reconstruction is possible

==> image restoration for peak counting

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

- A. Leonard, S. Pires, J.-L. Starck, "Fast Calculation of the Weak Lensing Aperture Mass Statistic", MNRAS, 423, pp 3405-3412, 2012.
- A. Leonard, J.-L. Starck, S. Pires , F.-X Dupe, Exploring the Components of the Universe Through Higher-Order Weak Lensing Statistics, Open Questions in Cosmology, Gonzalo J. Olmo (Ed.), InTech, 2012.

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Aperture Mass and Wavelets**

$$M_{ap}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \ \gamma_t(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) Q(|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|)$$

$$M_{ap}(\theta) = (\mathbf{\Phi}^t \kappa)_{\theta}$$

#### ⇒ Wavelets filters are formally **indentical** to Mass aperture

A. Leonard, S. Pires, J.-L. Starck, "Fast Calculation of the Weak Lensing Aperture Mass Statistic", MNRAS, 423, pp 3405-3412, 2012.

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

CosmoStat Lab

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

### pixelated shear map

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Part I: Introduction to Weak Lensing Mass Mapping
- Part II: 2D Weak Lensing Mass Mapping
- Part III: 3D Mass Map Reconstruction

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Cluster Detections: 2D vs 3D mapping**

- 3D reconstructions (GLIMPSE) may offer an SNR advantage over 2D reconstructions (MRLens) for the detection of clusters.
- Improvement particularly significant at high redshift.

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

Leonard, Lanusse, & Starck 2015, MNRAS

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

NGC2997

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **STROPIC UNDECIMATED WAVELET TRANSFORM**

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Redshift Information**

Since, we are **not binning** the data, the framework can be expanded to include additional information, in particular **redshifts**.

==> Allows cluster density mapping (assuming knowledge of lens and sources redshifts).

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \parallel (1 - \mathbf{Z}\kappa)g - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{P}\kappa \parallel_{2}^{2} + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{\Phi}^{t}\kappa \parallel_{1}$$

with 
$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{critic}^{\infty} / \sum_{critic} (z_i)$$

$$\Sigma_{crit}(z_s) = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{D_S}{D_L D_{LS}}$$
$$\Sigma_{crit}^{\infty} = \lim_{z \to \infty} \Sigma_{crit}(z)$$

Individual redshifts have two benefits:

- •Directly map the surface mass density of the lens
- •Mitigate the mass-sheet degeneracy when  $\kappa$  becomes significant (Bradac et al. 2004)

![](_page_50_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Simulations: 100 independent noise and galaxy distribution realisations

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Flexion

Shear is noise dominated on small scales ==> Substructures are lost

Small-scale substructure can be recovered from strong lensing when available.

Gravitational Flexion is useful in the intermediate regime.

Flexion gives information relative to the third order derivatives of the lensing potential

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

10<sup>-3</sup>

#### **Shear and Flexion Noise Power Spectrum**

10<sup>-2</sup>

k [arcsec]<sup>-1</sup>

Shear alone Flexion alone

![](_page_52_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_12.jpeg)

 $10^{-1}$ 

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Flexion Information**

We can integrate flexion in our reconstruction framework

=> Jointly fit shear and flexion

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \parallel (1-\kappa) \begin{bmatrix} g \\ F \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \kappa \parallel_{2}^{2} + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{\Phi}^{t} \kappa \parallel_{1}$$

=> Jointly fit shear and flexion with redshift information

$$\min_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2} \parallel (1 - \mathbf{Z}\kappa) \begin{bmatrix} g \\ F \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{Z} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \kappa \parallel_{2}^{2} + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{\Phi}^{t}\kappa \parallel_{1}$$
  
with  $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{critic}^{\infty} / \sum_{critic} (z_{i})$   $\sum_{crit}^{\infty} = \lim_{z \to \infty} \sum_{crit} (z_{i})$ 

$$\Sigma_{crit} - \lim_{z \to \infty} \Sigma_{crit}(z)$$
$$\Sigma_{crit}(z_s) = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{D_S}{D_L D_{LS}}$$

Individual redshifts have two benefits:

- •Directly map the surface mass density of the lens
- •Mitigate the mass-sheet degeneracy when  $\kappa$  becomes significant (Bradac et al. 2004)

![](_page_53_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\hat{}$ 

# **Simulations with Flexion**

#### Simulate reduced flexion

Flexion noise  $\sigma_F = 0.029 \operatorname{arcsec}^{-1}$  (Cain et al, 2011)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

Reconstruction from one realisation

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Flexion

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Benefits of adding flexion:

- $\bullet\,$  Improvement on the recovered profiles below 0.5 arcmin
- Recovery of small-scale substructure at the 10 arcsec scale

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Weak Sparsity or Compressible Signals

A signal s (n samples) can be represented as sum of weighted elements of a given dictionary

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Analyze the signal through the statistical properties of the coefficients
- Approximation theory uses the sparsity of the coefficients

![](_page_56_Figure_5.jpeg)