



Optimize training samples for future supernova surveys using Active Learning

Cosmostat Day on Machine Learning in Astrophysics 26 January 2018

Emille E. O. Ishida

Laboratoire de Physique de Clermont - Université Clermont-Auvergne Clermont Ferrand, France





Supernova Cosmology

Measuring the accelerated expansion of the Universe

2011





https://www.extremetech.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/magnetars-3.jpg



Supernova Cosmology

1. detection



Flux

2. photometry

Type Ia Supernovae - how to identify them?



Supernova Cosmology



1. detection

2. photometry

Big Data (in astronomy) \rightarrow Large Scale Sky Surveys



https://www.lsst.org/

Traditional strategy



The Supernova Photometric Classification Challenge

Kessler et al., 2010, PASP, Volume 122, Issue 898, pp. 1415



Outcomes:

1 – None of the methods obviously outperformed the others

2 – SNID had better overall metric

3- An updated data set was released to the community



Representativeness



From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

The Data: post-SNPCC simulations – *Kessler et al., 2010*

Representativeness





From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

The Data: post-SNPCC simulations – *Kessler et al., 2010*

Representativeness



From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

The Data: post-SNPCC simulations – *Kessler et al., 2010*

How to construct optimal training samples ?

Active Learning or Optimal Experimental Design

"Can machines learn with **fewer labeled** training instances if they are allowed to ask guestions?"



Active Learning in Astronomy

the case for Supernova Classification



From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

Active Learning in Astronomy

the case for Supernova Classification

Full sample - full LC

Strategy - Current - - Passive learning - Uncertainty sampling - QBC



From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

Active Learning in Astronomy the case for Supernova Classification



From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

Active Learning in Astronomy

the case for Supernova Classification

Full sample - epoch < +5 days since maximum - Batch 20



Strategy - Vanilla - - Passive learning - N-least certain - Semi-supervised



Strategy - Vanilla -- Passive learning - N-least certain - Semi-supervised



From COIN Residence Program #4, Ishida et al., 2018 – in prep

Active Learning in Astronomy

the case for Supernova Classification

Current - Passive learning - N-least certain - Semi-supervised



Full sample - 5d - Time domain

Strategy -

Accuracy Efficiency 0.8 1.0 0.6 0.4 0.5-0.2 0.0-0.0 Purity FoM 0.15 1.00 0.75 0.10-0.50 0.05 0.25 0.00 0.00 200 400 600 400 600 200 n Number of gueries

Summary







Clermont Ferrand, France







Extra slides



Big Data \rightarrow Machine Learning



Credit: Stan Bileschi - CBCL





Background: Active Learning in Astronomy

ACTIVE LEARNING TO OVERCOME SAMPLE SELECTION BIAS: APPLICATION TO PHOTOMETRIC VARIABLE STAR CLASSIFICATION

Joseph W. Richards^{1,2}, Dan L. Starr¹, Henrik Brink³, Adam A. Miller¹, Joshua S. Bloom¹, Nathaniel R. Butler¹, J. Berian James^{1,3}, James P. Long², and John Rice²

supervised classification

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 744:192 (19pp), 2012 January 10

28



COIN products







60 researchers from **15** countries

Scientific outcomes

		In 4	years
	Paper	Citation	9
1	GLM I	de Souza <i>et al.</i> , 2015	_
2	GLM II	Elliott et al., 2015	
3	GLM III	de Souza <i>et al.</i> , 2015	
4	AMADA	de Souza & Ciardi, 2015	
5	CosmoABC	Ishida et al., 2015	
6	DRACULA	Sasdelli et al., 2016	
7	AGNlogit	de Souza <i>et al.</i> , 2016	
8	PhotoZ	Beck <i>et al.</i> , 2017	
9	AGNgmm	de Souza <i>et al.</i> , 2017	

- CosmoPhotoZ de Souza *et al.*, 2014,
 - AMADA de Souza & Ciardi, 2015
 - CosmoABC Ishida et al., 2015
- DRACULA
- Aguena et al., 2015

```
+ 1 galaxy catalog
+ 1 GMM tutorial
  2 photoz catalogs
```

Infographic by Rafael S. de Souza